

Northern Outcomes Group Amanda McLean, Implementation Manager, Workstream 2 EITP, PHA Northern Early Intervention Support Service


Date: 13th June 2018







Early Intervention Transformation Programme









Early Intervention Support Service Development

- Aim of Early Intervention Support Service (EISS)
 - To support & empower families with emerging vulnerabilities (Tier 2) families by intervening early with evidence informed services before difficulties become intractable.

PHA Objective

 To design, commission, implement & test a coherent family support model in five pilot sites.

EISS Development

- Stakeholder Engagement February 2014 March 2015
- Contracts awarded August 2015
- EISS operational guidance developed with service providers
- EITP funding for EISS concludes August 2018

Key Features of Early Intervention Support Service


- Multi professional skill mix team 3.5 WTE
- Key worker assigned to family
- Short term intervention of approximately 12 weeks
- Provides therapeutic & practical support
- Access to
 - Family Group Conferencing for 5% of families
 - Incredible Years & Strengthening Family Parenting Programmes
- Refers to FSH for multiagency input if additional support required
- Outcomes Based Accountability Framework to measure impact
- Research evaluation led by QUB







How much did Northern EISS do?











DELIVERING SOCIAL CHANGE


How much did Northern EISS do?






- 20% of referrals did not receive the EISS
 - 5% referral criteria not met
 - 2% statutory Social Service involvement
 - 3% outside EISS area
 - 15% Declined offer of EISS

Age Range of Referrals # 427 (Apr 16 – Mar 18)



How well did Northern EISS do it?

Length of Intervention Apr 16 - Mar 18 #386 closed cases



Average length of intervention Apr 17 – Mar 18 = 12.6 weeks








How well did Northern EISS do it?

Outcome of Intervention Apr 2016 – Mar 2018 (closed cases #386)



- 386 closed cases between April 2016 March 2018.
- 48 families (12%) did not go on to receive the EISS as when contacted they did not wish to receive the service.
- 364 families have been supported by Northern EISS between August 2015 – March 2018.

How well did Northern EISS do it?

- 263 parent/carer user satisfaction forms were completed 87% rated the service as excellent; 10% rated the service as very good & 2% rated the service as good.
- 195child/young person user satisfaction forms were completed 71% rated the service as excellent; 23% rated the service as very good & 6% rated the service as good

"I think it was pitched just right and didn't go on too long either" Parent


"In terms of most of the families they really find the solution focus very useful, to harness peoples strengths and to make them feel good and that they can do this, it's achievable."

Practitioner


EISS helped me and mum through a rough time. "Worker was fantastic.
My son loved the time
he spent with her and
was gutted when I
told him there were
no more sessions."
Parent

"I think the staff are wonderful.
They're approachable, they're
incredibly professional and
there's great communication
between themselves and
myself."


Overall Family Star Plus (Cumulative from Apr 16 - Mar 18 #151 Families)



How many Service Users Improved Cumulative from 1 Apr 16 #151 Families



Family Star Plus Cumulative from April 2016 #166 Families




Data on the Star shows an average of the scores across all areas. A "big" increase or decrease is defined as more than one point up or down the area.


First Score

Last Score


This table shows the average first and last scores for families included in this report; report downloaded 29th May 2018



How Many Service Users Improved Percentage # Service Users (Cumulative from Apr 16 - Mar 18 #174 Children & Young People)



My Star Plus Cumulative from April 2016 #174 Families



This table shows the average first and last scores for families included in this report; report downloaded 30th May 2018

QUB Evaluation of EISS

Research Questions

- What is the experience of delivering and taking part in the EISS?
- How effective is the EISS in improving outcomes for children, young people and their families?
- What aspects of the EISS model may need modification before a scaled roll-out is considered?

Methodology

- Process evaluation
 - To identify what did and did not work in the conception, set up & delivery of the EISS.
- Psychometric & process analysis of the Outcome Stars as both assessment & measurement tools
- Non-randomised wait list control group







Key Findings QUB Evaluation

Process Evaluation

- EISS was an extremely well received service that is clearly addressing unmet need.
- The findings identified numerous positives from perspective of practitioners, managers, families & referral agencies
 - Parents were positive about impact on their families
 - The non stigmatising, non judgemental, voluntary nature of the EISS was highlighted
 - Home based nature & flexibility of service was valued
 - Length of intervention was "just right"
 - Approach & skills of practitioners encouraged engagement
 - Good relationship with EISS & Family Support Hubs
 - EISS was well perceived with local community & voluntary organisations
- EISS represents a coherent family support option across the region.

Outcomes Star Data

- Outcomes Star data indicates a widespread positive impact
- Key workers perceived there to be improvements for families in several areas
 - 81% improvement in "Boundaries & Behaviours"
 - 78.5% improvement in "Meeting Emotional Needs"
 - 71.4% improvement in "Your Wellbeing"
 - 68.9 % improvement in "Family routine"
- These observations should be treated with some caution in the absence of a control group
- Feedback from practitioners & families were very positive about the utility of the Outcomes Star

Main Effects Analysis

- Main effect analysis does not provide any robust evidence that the intervention is effective
- Effect sizes reported were small they were however consistent with what would have been expected if the intervention was effective
 - Sample size was lower than the target set at the outset
 - Generalisability of the main effects analysis is limited







Evaluation Recommendations

EISS target population

 Challenges were identified in delivering the programme to a broad population covering 0-18 year olds across a wide range of social, emotional & behavioural issues

Context & resources

 EISS success requires a whole system approach where other appropriate resources are available locally for staff to refer families too.

Referrals

Tighter screening processes for referrals.

Implementation

 Consider if higher fidelity in service provision can be achieved across both the interventions used & the Outcomes Star.

Next Steps

- Learning event workshop September 2018
 - Capture and sharing good practice across the EITP programme
- Workshop with service providers & key stakeholders
 - Consider the QUB evaluation report
 - Consider refinements that may be needed with the model, based on knowledge, experience and learning gained during the pilot
 - Review the operational guidance
 - Consider how the fidelity of the EISS model could be improved



The
ATLANTIC
Philanthropies





www.northemireland.gov.uk

DELIVERING SOCIAL CHANGE