











CYPSP STANDARDS FOR LOCALITY PLANNING – CAUSEWAY LPG 23/24

STANDARD 1	Working in PARTNERSHIP is an integral part of Locality Planning. Partnership includes children, young people, parents, professionals and community.
QUESTIONS FOR LPG SELF SCORE	<p>Do you have an agreed shared understanding for the LPG?  Average score 8</p> <p>How healthy is the culture of joint working – partners feedback  Average score 9</p> <p>What is your assessment of the effectiveness of current arrangements for sharing information across agencies?  The weekly ezine is a useful way of sharing information across the area. Format is also good. There is always room for more partners  Average score 9</p> <p><u>Overall score 8</u></p>
STANDARD 2:	Locality Planning Groups are OUTCOMES LED
QUESTIONS FOR LPG SELF SCORE	<p>Does the group have common arrangements for reviewing needs in the locality?  Yes</p> <p>How would you rate progress towards a common framework for assessing and reviewing need in this locality?  High  Average score 7</p> <p>How would you rate the current quality of co-ordination between member services?  Good  Average score 6</p> <p>Do members carryout OBA when planning their individual services locally?  Depends on group</p>















CYPSP STANDARDS FOR LOCALITY PLANNING – CAUSEWAY LPG 23/24

	<p>✚ Don't know</p> <p>✚ Average score 6</p> <p>Does the group use OBA when planning together?</p> <p>✚ Don't know</p> <p>✚ Action plan is using an OBA approach</p> <p>✚ Would be good to see OBA and understanding around it</p> <p>✚ Average score 7</p> <p><u>Overall score 7</u></p>
STANDARD 3	INVOLVEMENT OF CHILDREN YOUNG PEOPLE AND PARENTS IN THE PLANNING AND EVALUATION in the planning process is practiced in an on-going basis
QUESTIONS FOR LPG SELF SCORE	<p>Are there any arrangements currently existing to enable children/young people to be involved in planning and evaluation?</p> <p>✚ None</p> <p>✚ Don't know</p> <p>✚ Average score 5</p> <p>Are there any arrangements in place in individual agencies for listening to children and young people?</p> <p>✚ Through groups</p> <p>✚ Average score 5</p> <p>Is there agreement that this should be a common value of the LPG?</p> <p>✚ Yes</p> <p>✚ Average score 9</p> <p>How would you rate the overall quality of arrangements for listening to children and young people in this locality?</p> <p>✚ Average score 7</p>

CYPSP STANDARDS FOR LOCALITY PLANNING – CAUSEWAY LPG 23/24

	<p>What arrangements are in place to obtain children, young people and families feedback re access to services in their community?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✚ Via members, reviews and talking ✚ Are there agreed facilitated processes for involvement? ✚ Average score 7 <p>To what extent do LPG members share the views of children, young people and families with the group to inform its work?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✚ Organisations represent the interest of children and young people – as most are at school and therefore unable to attend. Parents are represented through some of the organisations. ✚ Need a full time member of staff to help co-ordinate this to get the voices of children and young people. ✚ Unsure of all ✚ Totally ✚ Average score 8 <p><u>Overall score 7</u></p>
STANDARD 4	Locality Planning promotes the view that effective planning will STRENGTHEN INFORMAL NETWORKS
QUESTIONS FOR LPG SELF SCORE	<p>Has the LPG reviewed community networks and existing links?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✚ Don't know (x2) ✚ Yes new members join regularly ✚ Average score 7 <p>Does the LPG know about community assets? Has a formal community asset mapping exercise been considered?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✚ Changes in funding/long term sustainability has had impacts on assets. A number of groups have folded during COVID so would need done again. ✚ Unsure

CYPSP STANDARDS FOR LOCALITY PLANNING – CAUSEWAY LPG 23/24

	<p>  ??  Unknown </p> <p>Have members identified gaps in service areas locally?</p> <p>  Yes there are always gaps identified at meetings but unfortunately there is a lack of resources to fund these gaps.  Yes to an extent  Yes via chats  Average score 7 </p> <p><u>Overall score 7</u></p>
STANDARD 5	Locality Planning Group reflects a STRENGTHS BASED perspective, which is mindful of resilience as a characteristic of many children's and families lives
QUESTIONS FOR LPG SELF SCORE	<p>Does the LPG value resilience?</p> <p>  Yes (x2)  Average score 7 </p> <p>Do LPG members use assessment frameworks that measure strengths?</p> <p>  Not sure  Average score 5 </p> <p>To what extent is this approach shared across member's assessment framework?</p> <p>  Not sure  Average score 5 </p> <p>Do agencies track positive changes based on user feedback?</p> <p>  Reported at meetings  Average score 7 </p> <p>Are there agreed baseline measures for tracking the impact of early intervention?</p>

CYPSP STANDARDS FOR LOCALITY PLANNING – CAUSEWAY LPG 23/24

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> + The CYPSP works well by bringing organisations together and sharing good practice. + The CYPSP website has capacitor holistic practices that can be downloaded in over 35 languages + Unknown sorry + ?? (x2) + Average score 6 <p><u>Overall score 6</u></p>
STANDARD 6	Does the LPG have an agreed protocol for Family Support Hubs to report UNMET NEED at the LPG
QUESTIONS FOR LPG SELF SCORE	<p>Does the LPG have an opportunity to raise issues with the Outcomes group?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Yes <p>Is there a locally agreed process for LPG's to consider feedback from the Family Support Hubs particularly around unmet need?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Yes <p>Does the LPG take FSH information into account when carrying out action planning?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + FSH usually attend the meetings + Average score 9 <p><u>Overall score 9</u></p>
STANDARD 7	MEASURES OF SUCCESS are built into LPGs to demonstrate that the planning has resulted in improved outcomes for children, young people and families
QUESTIONS FOR LPG SELF SCORE	<p>Are there agreed processes for tracking community outcomes (trends)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Forms for small grants + Average score 6 <p>Is there agreement across members about quality assure LPG processes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Yes (x2) + Average score 6

CYPSP STANDARDS FOR LOCALITY PLANNING – CAUSEWAY LPG 23/24

	<p>How would you rate the effectiveness of current arrangements for measuring outcomes for children and young people in this locality?</p> <p>✚ Need more time and staff hours in order to achieve this properly</p> <p>✚ Average score 9</p> <p><u>Overall score 7</u></p>
STANDARD 8	<p>Locality Planning Groups aim to PROMOTE SOCIAL INCLUSION and address issues around ethnicity, disability and urban/rural communities</p>
QUESTIONS FOR LPG SELF SCORE	<p>Are there processes in place to enable members in the LPG to promote social inclusion and address issues around ethnicity, disability and urban/rural communities</p> <p>✚ Average score 6</p> <p>Are there opportunities to include the voice of disadvantaged groups/communities?</p> <p>✚ Average score 6</p> <p>How would you rate the arrangements currently in place by agencies in this locality to promote social inclusion?</p> <p>✚ Yes</p> <p>✚ There are opportunities to promote SI but it could still be improved.</p> <p>✚ Most around the table are white and from NI/UK and speak English</p> <p>✚ Average score 8</p> <p><u>Overall score 7</u></p>